	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/642,068	STUELPNAGEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637
All Participants:	rticipants: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Teresa E Strzelecka</u> .	(3) <u>Victoria Poulsen</u> .	
(2) Robin Silva.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 June 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	,
Part I.		· .
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
Teresa Stratectia 6/15/04		
	'Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney (Robin Silva) was contacted on June 10, 2004 to inquire whether a reply was filed to an office action mailed December 2, 2003, since PALM indicated paid fees and workflow for incoming amendment on April 23, 2004. However, no amendment was entered into the case. On June 11, 2004 Ms. Poulsen returned the phone call stating that an amendment was indeed filed on April 22, 2004. On June 14 a phone call was made to Ms. Poulsen to provide examiner's fax number so that the amendment can be sent. The amendment and copies of the returned post card and check for the fees paid were received on June 15.